

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476,141	01/03/2000	RAYMOND GUZMAN	241/288	7365
23639 7:	590 11/26/2002	•		
BINGHAM, MCCUTCHEN LLP			EXAMINER	
	ARCADERO, SUITE 1800 SCO, CA 94111-4067		ALAM, SHAHID AL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)
,		I GUZMAN ET AL.
Advisory Action	09/476,141	Art Unit
•	Examiner	2172
**	Shahid Al Alam	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	AND CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2002 FAILS TO F Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Al Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	er: (1) a timely filed amendmoppeal (with appeal fee); or (34.	ent which places the application in B) a timely filed Request for Continued
	R REPLY [check either a) o	r b)j
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exposed on the control of the statutory period for reply exposed on the first than the statutory period for reply exposed on the first than the statutory period for reply exposed on the first than the first	expire later than SIX MONTHS from Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MON Period of extension and the corresplate of the shortened statutory perion of the corresplate of the shortened statutory perione Office later than three months are 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ITHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP inder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension onding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension od for reply originally set in the final Office action; or lifter the mailing date of the final rejection, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3	7 CFR 1.191(u)), to avoid a	thin the period set forth in ismissal of the appeal.
The proposed amendment(s) will not be ente	red because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/o	r search (see NOTE below);
(L) [] the roise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applications and/or	ation in better form for appe	
(d) they present additional claims without on NOTE:		umber of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if subm	itted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ requality application in condition for allowance because	ise	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consider	ed because it is not directed	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amer explanation of how the new or amended cla	ndment(s) a)⊠ will not be e	ntered or b)⊡ will be entered and an ovided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as for		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>10,12,15,16,21,22,24,2</u>	9,30,32,37,38,40,45,46,48,53,	<u>54 and 56</u> .
Claim(s) rejected: 4-9-11, 13, 14, 17-20, 23, 25-	<u> 28,31,33-36,39,41-44,47,49-5</u>	<u>2 and 55</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is a)□ approved or I	o) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S	statement(s)(PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s)

10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

Continuation of 10. Other: Examiner maintains that O'Flaherty's teaching reads on Applicant's claim language of "associating a rcord within said data source to . . . the database as metadata." O'Flaherty's teaching of metadata about privacy view clearly teaches Applicant's claim language above.

SHAMID AL ALAM SHAMID AL ALAM SATENT EXAMINER